

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/702,051	11/06/2003	Jorge Melgosa	59643.00353	7417	
32294	7590 05/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			EKONG,	EKONG, EMEM	
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TYSONS CO	DRNER, VA 22182	2617			
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/702,051	MELGOSA, JORGE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
EMEM EKONG	2617		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the countries that the REPLY FILED 02 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALI	•	ress
• •	•	
THE THE BETT FEED OF MAY FOUND TO FOIL OF THIS WILL FISH HIS OCCUPING A FINAL CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affi places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mutime periods:	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origing set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 3 AMENDMENTS	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ducing or cimplifying	the iceuse for
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially recappeal; and/or	aucing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejo	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, non-allowable claim(s). 	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 দ-॥,।३,</u> ৯০২ শ -৭০		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ation of Annual will no	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a No because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidav was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after en		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in See Continuation Sheet.		nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08_or PTO-1449) Paper N 13. Other: NICK CORSARO		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: with regards to claim 13, Deakin discloses a means for storing billing class identifier information associated with a predetermined subscriber services; the billing class information includes a chosen billing system (col. 1line 64-col. 2 line 40), the new BCI parameter can be assigned to either a basic service or supplementary services, therefore Deakin discloses applicant's limitation of "storing in a memory information identifying one of a plurality of charging nodes (plurality of billing system, and charing gateway) associated with a communication session as a default charging node for said session".

Regarding claim 1, Lucidarme discloses management and monitoring of cellular radiocommuication networks, including billing management (col. 1 lines 5-15 and col. 1 line 66-col.2 line 6), Deakin teach the limitations not disclosed by Lucidarme.

Regarding claim 19, Deakin disclose limitations not taught by Wuschke et al., and the limitations in claims 20 and 31-40, are taught by the combination of Wuschke et al. and Deakin. Therefore, the argued limitations are the same as disclosed by the reference or the limitations are written broad such that they read on the cited art, rejections are maintained.